

U

## Reformation Theory

The combined notions of convergence, cotangent complex & infinitesimal coflatness are so important that one groups them together in the following:

Def'n: A prestack  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to admit deformation theory if it satisfies:

(i)  $\mathcal{X}$  is convergent, i.e.  $\mathcal{X}(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \lim_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{X}(S^n S)$ ;

(ii)  $\mathcal{X}$  admits a cotangent complex, i.e.  $T^* \mathcal{X} \in QCh(\mathcal{X})^-$ ;

(iii)  $\mathcal{X}$  is infinitesimally cohesive.

By the proposition from last time we have that assuming (i), (ii) + (iii) are equivalent to

$$\mathcal{X}(S_1 \underset{S_1}{\amalg} S_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{X}(S_1) \times_{\mathcal{X}(S_1)} \mathcal{X}(S_2) \quad (\star) \quad \text{for any pushout}$$

$$S_1 \rightarrow S_2$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & \downarrow \\ S_1' & \rightarrow & S_1' \underset{S_1}{\amalg} S_2 \end{array}$$

where  $S_1 \rightarrow S_1'$  is a nilpotent embedding.

Example: (i) Notice that the inclusion  $\text{Sch}^{\text{aff}} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}$  preserves pushouts by nilpotent embeddings.

Thus, any  $T \in \text{Sch}$  admits deformation theory.

Rk: Actually it is enough to check (A) for  $S_i \rightarrow S'_i$  a sq-zero extension. by the Thm. from the last notes.

(ii) For  $\{\mathbb{X}_i\}_{i \in I}$  a filtered diagram of convergent prostacks. Then if  $\varinjlim_I \mathbb{X}_i$  is convergent then it admits deformation theory.

In particular, ind-schemes admit deformation theory.

~~Before giving more consequences~~ Here are some consequences of admitting deformation theory.

Thm: let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a prostack admitting deformation theory.

Suppose  $\mathbb{X}$  satisfy Zariski (resp. Nisnevich, étale) descent, then so does  $\mathbb{X}$ .

Idea: Consider.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_0' & \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} & S_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_1' & \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} & S_0 \end{array} \quad \& \quad \varphi^* S_1' = S_0'$$

Enough to check (A) is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X}(S_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \lim_{\text{dop}} \mathbb{X}((S_0'/S_0)^\circ) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha \\ \mathbb{X}(S_1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \lim_{\text{dop}} \mathbb{X}((S_1'/S_0)^\circ) \end{array} \quad \text{But: } F.b(\mathbb{X}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(S_0)) \xrightarrow{\text{is}} T_x^* \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

null-homotopy of  $x: S_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ , for some  $\mathbb{X} \in QG(S_0)$ .

$F.b(\mathbb{X}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(S_0)) \xrightarrow{(A)} F.b(\mathbb{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{is}} \mathcal{F}$

And similarly:  $\mathcal{F} := h^*(\mathcal{F})$

$T_x^* \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$  (null-homotopy)

The point is that in the Totalization one can actually pass to a finite indexing set, so  $\text{Hom}(T_x^* \mathcal{X}, -)$  commutes w/ finite limits.

Here is another very useful consequence:

Thm: Let  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a map of presheaves that admit deformation theory, and suppose that for every  $x: S_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ,  $S_0 \in \mathcal{S}ch_{aff}$ , one has:

$$\del{T_y^* \mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_x^* \mathcal{X} \quad y = f \circ x,$$

and that  $\text{cl}f: {}^c \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^c \mathcal{Y}$  is an isomorphism.

Then  $f$  is an isomorphism.

Pf: Enough by induction on sq.-zero extensions to prove that for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1$  a sq.-zero extension one has:

$$\text{Maps}_{S,+}(S^1, \mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Maps}_{S,-}(S^1, \mathcal{Y}).$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Hom}(T_x^* \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \\ \text{for } x: S_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{\text{is}}{\simeq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} T_y^* \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \\ \text{for } y: S_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \end{array} \right\}.$$

for see  $\mathcal{F} \in Qch(S^1)^{\text{op}}$ .

Finally the next result gives a criterion for checking the l.a.f.t. condition

Thm: Let  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{PStk}_{aff}$ , if

$$(i) {}^c \mathcal{X} \in {}^c \mathcal{PStk}_{aff}, \text{ i.e. } {}^c \mathcal{X}(\underset{I}{\text{colim }} T_i) \simeq \underset{I \text{ op}}{\lim} {}^c \mathcal{X}(T_i)$$

where  $T_i \in \mathcal{S}ch_{aff}$ .

$$(\text{ii}) \forall T \in {}^c \mathcal{S}ch_{aff} \quad x: T \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ one has}$$

$$T_x^* \mathcal{X} \in \text{Pro}(Gh(T))$$

(little lie, it starts here)

Rk: (The def'n of  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(X))_{\text{aff}}$  is:

- $\mathbb{D}$  is compact.
- $\forall m \geq 0 \quad \mathbb{D} /_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(X)^{\geq -m, \leq 0}} : \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(X)^{\geq -m, \leq 0} \rightsquigarrow \text{Spc} \text{ commutes}$

For  $X \in \text{Sch}_{\text{aff}}$ ,  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(X))_{\text{aff}} = \text{Pro}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(X))$ .

We notice that the condition on  $T_x^* X$  can be check in cohomology, i.e.

$$H^i(T_x^* X) \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(T)) \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\bar{T}$  is essentially coconnective, i.e.  $\bar{T} \in \text{su Sch}_{\text{aff}}$  for some  $n$ ,  $\text{Perf}(\bar{T}) \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(\bar{T}))$ , se.

$$H^i(T_x^* X) \in \text{Perf}(T) \quad \forall i \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{our condition. (Q) (ii)}$$

~~Q: When can we determine that  $T_x^* X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}(T)))$  for all  $x: T \dashrightarrow X$ ?~~

The proof of the above theorem is a bit more involved we refer the reader to [GR-II, Chapter 1, § 9].